# ON THE MOTION OF DYNAMICALLY CONTROLLED SYSTEMS WITH VARIABLE MASSES* 

N. G. APYKHTIN and V. F. IAKOVLEV

Equations of motion are derived for a mechanical system with variable masses and superimposed constraints, whose responses are reactive forces. A theory is developed for the solution of an optimal motion control problem for this kind of system when reactive forces are taken as controls. As an example a problem on contact in minimal time is solved by the method of parallel approach of some target and a system of point of variable mass.

1. We analyze a mechanical system of $n$ mass points $P_{k}(k=1, \ldots, n)$ whose positions in an absolute frame of reference are determined by their Cartesian coordinates $\quad x_{v}(v=1$, . , $3 n$ ). Iet prescribed forces $F_{k} \cdot\left(X_{v}\right)$ act on points $P_{k}$ and let their motion be subject to compatible and independent constraints

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\alpha}\left(x_{v}, x_{\nu}, t\right)=0 \quad(\alpha=1, \ldots, a) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

among which $a_{1}$ constraints are geometric. The virtual displacements, admitting of superimposed constraints, are determined by $a$ independent relations /1/

$$
\sum_{v=1}^{3 n} \frac{\partial f_{\alpha}}{\partial z_{v}} \delta x_{v}=0 \quad(\alpha=1, \ldots, a)
$$

while the system's configuration is described by $h=3 n-a_{1}$ independent Lagrangian coordinates $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{l}$. Because of the $a_{2}=h-a_{1}$ nonholonomic constraints in (1.l) the variations of the latter coordinates are connected by $a_{2}$ conditions

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{h} \sum_{v=1}^{j n} \frac{\partial j_{\alpha}}{\sigma x_{v}} \frac{\sigma x_{v}}{\sigma q_{j}} \delta \delta q_{j}=0 \quad\left(\mathrm{a}=a_{1}+1, \ldots, a\right)
$$

which permit us to express $a_{2}$ independent variations as linear homogeneous functions of $l$ independent quantities $\quad \delta q_{i}(i=1, \ldots, l)$. The variations of the Cartesian coordinates of the system's points take the form

$$
\delta x_{v}=\sum_{i=1}^{l} c_{v} \delta q_{i} \quad\left(v=1, \ldots, 3_{n}\right)
$$

Here $c_{i}\left(q_{j}, q_{j}, l\right)$ are known functions of the variables indicated, while the quantities $\delta q_{i}$ are arbitrary.
2. As is well known /2/, the constraints imposed on the system depend upon the physical nature of the mechanisms effecting them, in view of which the characteristic of the constraints is introduced by an axiom expressing the actually existing empirical relations. We assume that the mechanical system being analyzed is a system of mass points with variable masses, while the constraints are imposed by reactive forces that go into action antomatically and are automatically regulated. In other words, let the responses to the constraints being examined be reactive forces $R_{k}\left(R_{v}\right)$ going into action automatically and such that the accelerations of the points at any instant and for any positions and velocities consistent wilh the constraints form a system of feasible accelerations, i.e., do not contradict the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{v=1}^{3 n} \frac{\partial f_{\alpha}}{\partial x_{v}} x_{v}{ }^{\cdot}+e_{\alpha}\left(x_{v}, x_{v}, t\right)=0 \quad(\alpha=1, \ldots, a) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equality

$$
\sum_{v=1}^{n n} R_{v} \delta x_{v}=0
$$

valid for any virtual displacements, serves as an axiom of ideal constraints. The necessary and sufficient condition for this is ${ }_{a}$ the fulfillment of the conditions $/ 2 /$

$$
R_{v}=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \lambda_{\alpha} \frac{\partial j_{\alpha}}{\partial x_{v}{ }^{\prime}} \quad(v-1, \ldots, 3 n)
$$
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Let the sum of the elementary works of the reactive forces at every virtual displacement equal $b \neq 0$. Then there exists an infinite set of reactive forces $R_{k}^{\prime}\left(R_{v}^{\prime}\right)$ possessing the property that $R_{1}^{\prime} \delta x_{1}+R_{2}^{\prime} \delta x_{2}+\ldots+R_{n_{n}}^{\prime} \delta x_{n}=b$ for every virtual displacement. The fulfillment of the equalities

$$
R_{v}^{\prime}=R_{v}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{a} \lambda_{c} \frac{\dot{d} l_{\alpha}}{\partial x_{v}} \quad(v=1, \ldots, 3 n)
$$

is necessary and sufficient for this. Among the systems of $R_{k}$ ' there exists one and only one system of reactive forces $\Phi_{h}\left(\Phi_{v}\right)$ such that the vectors $\Phi_{k} \delta t$ define a certain virtual displacement $\delta x_{v}=\Phi_{\gamma} \delta t(v=1, \ldots, 3 n)$. Indeed, let

$$
\sum_{v=1}^{9 n} \mathrm{I}_{v} \delta x_{v}=\sum_{v=1}^{5 n} R_{v} \delta x_{v}
$$

on every virtual displacement. Hence, by virtue of the independence of the quantities $\delta q_{i}$ we have the $l$ equations

$$
\sum_{v=1}^{n}\left(D_{v}-R_{v}\right) c_{v i}=0 \quad(i=1, \ldots, l)
$$

which jointly with the $a$ equations

$$
\sum_{v=1}^{3 n} \frac{\partial i_{\alpha}}{\partial x_{v}} \Phi_{v}=0 \quad(\alpha=1, \ldots, a)
$$

form a system of $a+l=3 n$ equations for the determination of the $3 n$ unknowns $\Phi_{v}(v=1, \ldots$ ., $3 n$ ). The determinant of this system is nonzero because otherwise thexe would exist a system of forces $\Phi_{k} \neq 0$ for which

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathrm{Q}_{k} \delta r_{k}=\delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n} \Phi_{k}^{2}=0
$$

is not possible. Consequently, there exists one and only one system of variables $\Phi_{k}(k=1, \ldots$
$\because l$ ), while the reactive force $R_{k}$ can be uniquely decomposed into two components $N_{k}$ and
$\Phi_{k}$ such that $N_{1} \delta x_{1}+N_{2} \delta x_{2}+\ldots+N_{s_{n}} \delta x_{m_{n}}=0$ on every virtual displacement, while the vectors $\Phi_{k} \delta t$ are found among the virtual displacements. Here

$$
N_{v}=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \lambda_{\alpha} \frac{\partial f_{\alpha}}{\partial x_{v}}, \quad \Phi_{v}=\sum_{i=1}^{h} u_{i} c_{i v} \quad(v=1, \ldots, 3 n)
$$

the coefficients $\lambda_{r a}$ and $u_{i}$ are the same for all points of the system. Ihe quantity $N_{k}$ is called a reactive constraint force, while $\Phi_{i}$ is called a reactive thrust force.

If at the instant $t$ being examined we know the positions, the velocities, the laws of variation of the masses of the system's points, and the acting forces, then the constraint forces are determined uniquely and are one and the same independently of whether or not the system possesses a thrust. Indeed, the equations of motions of the points can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
m x_{v}{ }^{*}=X_{v}+\sum_{s=1}^{a} \lambda_{\alpha} \frac{d_{r} \alpha}{\theta r_{v}}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} u_{i} c_{i v} \quad(v=1, \ldots, 3 n) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting from here the quantities $x_{v}^{*}$ into Eq. (2.1), we obtain a system of a linear equations in the $a$ variables $\lambda_{\alpha}$. Consequently, for a system not possessing thrust a knowledge of the external forces under specified initial conditions and point mass variation laws is sufficient for the determination of the motion and of the constraint forces. If the laws of variation of the thrust forces are known, then for the description of the system's motion we have the $3 n$ equations (2.2) to which we should add on the a constraint equations and the
$l$ additional relations obtained from the thrust law,
3. Let nonholonomic constraints be absenl in relations (1.1). Then the variations of the Cartesian coordinates are expressed by the relations

$$
\delta x_{v}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial x_{v}}{\partial q_{j}} \delta q j \quad(v=1, \ldots, 3 n)
$$

We multiply each of the equations of system (2.2) by $8 x$, and we add. After transformations we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{h}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{3 n} m_{v}\left(\frac{d}{d t} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{j}} \frac{x_{v}{ }^{2}}{2}-\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{j}} \frac{x_{v}}{2}\right)\right] \delta q_{j}=\sum_{j=1}^{h} Q_{j} \delta q_{j}+\sum_{j=1}^{h}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i} \sum_{v=1}^{3 n} \frac{\partial x_{v}}{\partial q_{i}} \frac{\partial x_{v}}{\partial q_{j}}\right) \delta q_{j} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see that

$$
\sum_{v=1}^{3 n} \frac{\partial x_{v}}{\partial q_{i}} \frac{\partial x_{v}}{\partial q_{j}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i}^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}{\partial q_{j}}-\frac{\partial s}{\partial q_{j}}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i}} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\pi}}{\partial q_{j}}, \quad \tau=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{v=1}^{3 n} x_{v}^{\cdot 2}, s=\sum_{v=1}^{3 n} x_{v} x_{v}^{\cdot}, \pi=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{v=1}^{3 n} x_{v}^{2}
$$

We introduce the notation

$$
\frac{d^{\prime}}{d t} \frac{\partial^{\prime} T}{\partial q_{j}{ }^{\circ}}=\sum_{v=1}^{3 n} m_{v} \frac{d}{d t} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{j}} \frac{x_{v}{ }^{\prime}}{2}, \frac{\partial^{\prime} T}{\partial q_{j}}=\sum_{v=1}^{3 n} m_{v} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{j}} \frac{x_{v}{ }^{\cdot 2}}{2}
$$

and, because the quantities $\delta q_{\text {, }}$ are independent, from (3.1) be obtain the equations of motion of the system in the form of Lagrange equations of the second kind

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d^{\prime}}{d t} \frac{\partial^{\prime} T}{\partial q_{j}^{*}}-\frac{\partial^{\prime} T}{\partial q_{j}}=Q_{j}+\sum_{i=1}^{h} u_{i}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i}^{*}}\left(\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial q_{j}^{j}}-\frac{\partial s}{\partial q_{j}}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i}} \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial q_{j}}\right] \quad(j=1, \ldots h)  \tag{3.2}\\
& T=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{h} A_{i j}\left(q_{j}, q_{j}^{\cdot}, t\right) q_{i} \dot{q}_{j}^{\cdot}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}\left(q_{j}, q_{j}^{\cdot}, t\right) q_{i}^{\cdot}+\frac{1}{2} T_{0}\left(q_{j} \cdot q_{j}^{\cdot}, t\right) \quad\left(m_{v}=m_{v}\left(q_{j}, q_{j}^{\cdot}, t\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The quantities

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{j}=\sum m_{v} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{j}^{*}} \frac{x_{v}^{2}}{2}=\frac{\partial^{\prime} T}{\partial q_{j}^{*}} \quad(j=1, \ldots, h) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

are called generalized momenta. Setting $\left(\delta q_{j}\right)^{*}=\delta q_{j}{ }^{\circ}$, we represent (3.1) as a central Lagrange equation

$$
\frac{d^{\prime}}{d t} \sum_{j=1}^{h} p_{j} \delta q_{j}=\delta T+\sum_{j=1}^{h} Q_{j} \delta q_{j}+\sum_{j=1}^{h}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{h} u_{i}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{j}}\left(\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial q_{j}}-\frac{\partial s}{\partial q_{j}}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i}} \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial q_{j}}\right]\right\} \delta q_{j}
$$

The kinetic energy's Hessian, computed under the assumption of constancy of the system's mass, cannot be zero; therefore, (3.3) is solvable relative to the generalized velocities $q_{j}^{*}$, while the generating function of the inverse transformation is determined in the form

$$
K=\sum_{j=1}^{h} p_{j} q_{j}^{*}-T\left(q_{j}, q_{j}^{*}, t\right)
$$

Function $K$ together with the central Lagrange equations enables us to give the system's equations of motion the canonic form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{\prime} p_{j}}{d t}=-\frac{a^{\prime} K}{v q_{j}}+Q_{j}+\sum_{i=1}^{h} u_{i}\left[\frac{\partial}{\Delta q_{i}}\left(\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial q_{j}^{\prime}}-\frac{\partial s}{\partial q_{j}}\right)+\frac{\partial}{d q_{i}} \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial q_{j}}\right], \quad \frac{d^{\prime} q_{j}}{d t}=\frac{\partial^{\prime} K}{\partial l_{j}^{\prime}} \quad(j=1, \ldots, h) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the right hand sides of the first $h$ equations the generalized forces $Q_{j}$ and the quantities within the brackets are assumed to be expressed in terms of the generalized coordinates and momenta. The equations obtained define the relative motion of the mapping point describing the system's state, in the deformed $2 h$-dimensional phase space. If the quantities $u_{i}$, the mass variation laws, and the system's initial state ( $q_{1}{ }^{\circ}, \ldots, q_{h}{ }^{\circ}, p_{1}{ }^{\circ}, \ldots, p_{l}{ }^{\circ}$ ) are specified, then the system's behavior - the trajectories in phase space - uniquely defined. However, if the quantities $u_{i}$ are not specified in advance, then the resultant indeterminacy proves to be useful when considering motion modes that are optimal in some sense or other. Indeed, a mechanical system with a known mass variation law, being investigated, can in such case be treated as an object of automatic regulation, described by a system of $2 h$ first-order differential equations (3.4) and having $h$ regulating organs whose positions are determined by the $h$ parameters $u_{i}$. Then the main problem is to choose the control $u=\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{h}\right\}$ under which the system's behavior becomes optimal in some predetermined sense. Obviously, in the general case it is necessary to treat certain characteristics of the mass variation of the system's points as controlling parameters too.
4. As an example we consider one particular problem of exterior ballistics. Let a target $A$, whose motion is prescribed at any instant $t\left(t_{0} \leqslant t \leqslant t_{1}\right)$, be pursued by a system of $n$ controlled points with variable masses. It is assumed that each pursuing point is guided by the parallel approach method $/ 3 /$ and that control by automatically controllable reactive forces ensures that all $n$ pursuing points with arbitrary contact velocities hit on the target simultaneously (at instant $\boldsymbol{i}_{\mathbf{1}}$ ). It is required to determine the motion of the pursuing system under prescribed initial data, if it is known that the mass of each pursuing point varies by the law

$$
m_{v}=m_{v_{0}} \exp \left[\int_{i_{0}}^{t} f(t) d t\right]=m_{v_{0}} \gamma(t) \quad(v=1, . \quad, 3 n)
$$

while the approach must take place in minimal time. We assume that target $A$ and the points pursuing it form a similarly changing system with variable mass /4/. Then the simultaneous hitting of the target is ensured and the question is reduced to the study of the translational motion of a similarly changing body under a prescribed motion of one of its points. In this case the body possesses one degree of freedom and its position is determined by one generalized coordinate $\mu$ called the radiating compression function. It is seen that for the mass variation law adopted the principal central inertia axes in the body remain unchanged. Consequently, the body's kinetic energy, referred to these axes and written with due regard to the known law of motion of the target, is determined by the relation

$$
2 T=\left[M_{0}\left(F(t)+\mu^{\cdot} a\right)-2 M_{0} \mu^{\cdot} O(t)+\mu^{\cdot}-1_{0}\right] \gamma(l)
$$

where $F(t)$ and $Q(t)$ are known time functions stipulated by the target's motion, $a, M_{0}, I_{0}$ are constant depending on the initial data. The generating function of the inverse transformation is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K=p^{2}[2 b \gamma(t)]^{-1}+M_{0} b^{-1} Q(t) p-2^{-1} M_{\| 1}\left[M_{0} b^{-1} Q^{2}(t)-F(t)\right] \gamma(t) \\
& b=M_{0} a+\mathrm{I}_{0}=\text { const, } p=\left[\mu \cdot b-M_{0} Q(t)\right] \gamma(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

In addition, the equalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \tau=\mu^{\cdot 2} h+2 \mu L(t)+F(t), \quad s=\mu \mu^{\cdot} k+\mu^{\cdot} M(t)+\mu L(t)+v(t) \\
& 2 \tau=\mu^{2} k \dot{-}^{-} 2 \mu M(t)+w(t), \quad k=\mathrm{const}
\end{aligned}
$$

hold. Here $L, M, v, u$ are known time functions.
Let the external forces be absent and let the target's motion be uniform and rectilinear $(Q(t)=d=$ const). Then the canonic equation system (3.4) is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{\bullet}=P b^{-1} \gamma^{-1}(t)+M_{0} d b^{-1}, \quad p^{*}=k u+f(t) p \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the case given the components of the reactive thrust forcos are determined by

$$
\Phi_{v}=u \partial x_{v} / \partial \mu \quad(v=-1, \ldots, 3 n)
$$

We bound the absolute value of each thrust force by some limit, the same for each point

$$
0 \cdots\left|\Phi_{k}\right| \cdots\left|\Phi_{\max }\right| \quad(k=1, \ldots, n)
$$

However, for an individual point

$$
\left|\Phi_{k}\right|=\left[u^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(\partial x_{k}{ }^{i}(\eta \mu)^{2}\right]^{1 / k}==\left|u l_{k}^{0}\right| \quad(k=1, \ldots, n)\right.
$$

where $l_{h}{ }^{\circ}$ is the initial distance of the $k$-th point of the body from the initial position of the center of mass. Consequently, the greatest thrust force must be developed for the point with the largest value of $l_{k}{ }^{\circ}$. Hence we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<|u| \leqslant\left|\Phi_{\max } / l_{\max }{ }^{\circ}\right| \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

imposing a constraint on the regulation parameter $u$ and determining its admissible values.
Let us find how the quantity $u$ must vary under the specified law $f(t)$ in order that function $\mu(t)$ vanish in minimal time with an arbitrary value of $\mu^{\circ}\left(t_{1}\right)$, and, consequently, $p(t)$. In other words, we consider the problem of the most rapid hitting of an object guided by Eqs. (4.1) and (4.2), into some point of a manifold defined by the equation $\mu=0$, from some initial position $\left(\mu_{0}, p_{0}\right)$ on the phase plane. We find the required optimal value of $u$ from the condition of the maximum over $u$ of the function $/ 5 /$

$$
H=\psi_{1}\left[p b^{-1} \gamma^{-1}(t)+M_{0} d b^{-1}\right]+\psi_{2}[k u+f(t) p]
$$

As is seen, this function has a maximum with respect to $u$ for

$$
u=\| \Phi_{\max } / l_{\max }{ }^{\circ} \mid \operatorname{sign} \psi_{2}
$$

The auxiliary variables $\psi_{1}$ and $\psi_{2}$ are determined by the relations

$$
\psi_{1}^{*}--\partial H / \partial \mu=0, \quad \dot{\psi}_{2} \because \cdots \partial / L / \partial p=-=-\psi_{1} b^{-1} p^{-1}(t)-\psi_{2} f(t)
$$

Hence $\psi_{1}=c_{1}$ and $\psi_{2}=\left(c_{2}-c_{1} b^{-1} t\right) \gamma^{-1}(t)$, where $r_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are constants. We note that because $p\left(t_{1}\right)$ is arbitrary we can analyze a time-optimal problem with a moving right endpoint. The vector $0=\left\{0_{1}, 0_{2}\right\}$, tangent to manifold $\mu=0$, has the form $0=\left\{0, \theta_{2}\right\}$, where $0_{2} \neq 0$. Consequently, the transversality condition at the trajectory's right end can be written as $0 . \psi_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)-1$ $\theta_{2} \psi_{2}\left(t_{1}\right)=0$. Hence

$$
\psi_{2}\left(t_{1}\right)=0, \quad c_{2}-c_{1} b^{-1} t_{1}, \quad \psi_{2}-c_{1}\left(t_{1}-t\right) /(b \gamma(t))
$$

As we see, function $\psi_{2}(t)$ preserves sign for $t_{1} \xi_{i} t_{1}$. Consequently, each optimal value of control parameter $u$ is constant, nonzero and equal to $\pm\left|\Phi_{m a x} / l_{m a x}^{\circ}\right|$ depending on the sign of $\quad c_{1}$. In particular, for $f(t)=-\beta(1-\beta t)^{-1} \quad(\beta=$ const, $1-\beta t>0)$ the expressions for momentum $p$ and coordinate $\mu$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p=p_{0}(1-\beta t) \pm k \beta^{-1} u(1-\beta t) \ln (1-\beta t) \\
& \mu=b^{-1}\left(p_{0}-M_{0} d\right) t \pm k \beta^{-2} u(1-\beta t) \ln (1-\beta t) \pm k \beta^{-1} u t+1
\end{aligned}
$$

The upper signs correspond to positive values of $c_{1}$, the lower to negative; when $p_{0}>0$ ( $p_{0}<$ 0 ) we should set $c_{1}>0\left(c_{1}<0\right)$ since the condition that the maximum of function $H$ be positive must be fulfilled at the final instant $t_{1}$.
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